

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	Group Art Unit: 1618
)	
<i>UBER et al.</i>)	Confirmation No.: 4883
)	
Filed: 11 March 2004)	Examiner: Perreira, Melissa J.
)	
Serial No.: 10/798,876)	Docket No.: IN/02-002.PCT.US.C
)	
For: APPARATUS, SYSTEM AND METHOD)	
FOR GENERATING BUBBLES)	
ON DEMAND)	Date: 10 May 2010

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE
TO
FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 9 DECEMBER 2009

Dear Madam:

Applicants received a *Final Office Action* dated 9 December 2009 concerning the application cited above, and it alleges the application to be deficient in the following respects:

1. Claims 1-4, 6-18, 20-25, 27-31, 36-39, 140, 141 and 143 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,468,506 to *Rössling et al.* in view of U.S. Patent 6,397,098 to *Uber, III et al.*;
2. Claims 1-4, 6-25, 27-31, 36-39, 140, 141 and 143 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the *Rössling et al.* patent in view of the *Uber, III et al.* patent and further in view of International Publication WO96/40282 to *Quay et al.*; and
3. Claims 1-4, 6-18, 20-40, 140, 141 and 143 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the *Rössling et al.* patent in view of the *Uber, III et al.* patent and further in view of U.S. Patent 6,231,513 to *Daum et al.* and European Patent Application Publication 0135822A2 to *Engel*.

As part of a *Request for Continued Examination* (RCE), Applicants have herein amended

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically filed with the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on 10 May 2010


Susan M. Lloyd

certain claims and set forth arguments below in support of patentability. No new matter has been added to the application by this *Amendment And Response*. In view of the amendments and arguments below, Applicants believe that the claims set forth below are patentable over the prior art of record.